

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,020	06/08/2006	Bernd Kirchner	BAW-0020	1805
23413 CANTOR COI	7590 06/19/2007	EXAMINER		
55 GRIFFIN R	OAD SOUTH		BUI, BRYAN	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/582,020	KIRCHNER, BERND			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bryan Bui	2863			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply to ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			`			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

1. The attached of International preliminary report corresponding to PCT/DE2004/002547 have been received. However, the article D1 which cited in the report need to be provided a completely in English version for identification the corresponding of figures 3.16; 3.29; 3.30b; 3.34; pages 62-64, 65, 68, 214, 215, 217 in the Foreign article to the claim invention. The article without translation in English language is keep in the file for record, but not considered by Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motzer (U.S. Patent No. 6,301,512).

With respect to claims 1, 2, 8-11, Motzer teaches ultrasonic data analysis and display system comprising an input device, output device, a data store, a data processing unit, an interface for connecting a respective one of the test instruments though which data are adapted to be transmitted in both directions (figure 1); a standardized data processing program for defining a test object by data input or selecting it from the data store, determining various test regions of a test object, selecting at least one determined test instrument (ultrasonic test instrument) from group of test instruments (any kind of non-destructive technologies such as ultrasonic,

acoustic emission, electromagnetic, etc)and associating it with a respective one of the test regions (portions), with all relevant properties of the test instrument being stored in the data store (column 8, lines 10-41); carrying out test relevant, selecting a kind of visualization and evaluation of measured test values, archiving and storing test results obtained (column 11, lines 20-48), establishing test scheme with predetermined settings being transferred to the respective instrument for preset inspection (figure 1 and 13 A). It is noted that Motzer does not directly mention about non-destructive in the disclosure. However, one of the skill in the art can recognize that at the time the invention was made the non-destructive evaluation technologies such as ultrasonic, acoustic emission, electromagnetic, etc, and generally rely on some form of visual presentation of the inspection information of the data (further see ref 6301967 in the back grounds of the invention) such the performance discloses in Motzer (figure 1).

With respect to claims 3-7, Motzer teach, in figures 1, 13a-13c, all common components (plug-ins, modular, user interface (window)) that interaction between a computer and a data processing program.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-2271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7am-4pm, and Alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,020

Art Unit: 2863

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BB

6/12/2007

BRYAN BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4